

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/689,209	10/20/2003	Triveni P. Shukla	00030-001	4531
75	90 05/19/2004		EXAMINER	
Timothy J. Fullin			TRAN LIEN, THUY	
Fullin Legal Services LLC 711 North Milwaukee Avenue			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Libertyville, IL	, 60048		1761	
			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			įV			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Assistant Community	10/689,209	SHUKLA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Lien T Tran	1761				
The MAILING DATE of this communicate Period for Reply	ion appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence addres	s			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA: - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communica. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ration. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirly period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON by statute, cause the application to become AE	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this commur SANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>20 October 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
, <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Ex	vithdrawn from consideration. n and/or election requirement.					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority doc 2. Certified copies of the priority doc 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for	cuments have been received. cuments have been received in A ne priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	opplication No received in this National Stag	ge			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Profesorous's Potent Proving Poving (PTO)	, <u> </u>	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/689,209

Art Unit: 1761

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wesdorp et al.

Wesdorp et al disclose an edible plastic dispersion. The dispersion comprises a gel, water and a fat. The gelling agents used to form the gel can be pectins, carrageenan, xanthan gum and microcrystalline cellulose. The dispersion can suitably be used in products such as cheese, nut or vegetable spread or other food products to reduce the fat content thereof. (see columns 5-6 and col. 8)

Wesdorp et al disclose the gelling materials used can be pectins, carrageenan, xanthan gum and microcrystalline cellulose. All these materials provide dietary fiber; thus, the gel formed is a dietary fiber gel. Fat is a lipid. The dispersion comprises the gel, water and the fat; thus, it is the same as the emulsified liquid shortening

Application/Control Number: 10/689,209

1 4 4704

Art Unit: 1761

composition even though such term is not used in the reference. Wesdorp do not disclose the amount of solid as claimed.

Wesdorp et al disclose the dispersion is used in food products to reduce the fat content; the amounts of solid varies depending on the amount of dispersion used in the food products and it would have been obvious to vary the amount of dispersion used depending on the fat content desiring to reduce. Wesdorp et al disclose the dispersion is used in cheese and spread; thus, it would have been obvious to use the dispersion in processed cheese and processed cheese spread when one wants to reduce the fat content of these product.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Zolper and Hoefler et al disclose fat substitutes containing fiber gel.

Luzio et al disclose food compositions containing gel.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lien T Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-1408. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday, Wednesday and Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/689,209

Art Unit: 1761

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

May 17, 2004

LIEN TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Croup 1700